Taxonomic Rearrangement of the *Erebia tyndarus* Species Group (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) Based on an Analysis of *COI* Barcodes, Morphology, and Geographic Distribution

Vladimir LUKHTANOV, Andrei SOURAKOV, Valentin TIKHONOV, and Evgeny ZAKHAROV

| Accepted November 06, 2019 | Published online December 06, 2019 | Issue online December 31, 2019 | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Original article | LUKHTANOV V., SOURAKOV A., TIKHONOV V., ZAKHAROV E. 2019. Taxonomic rearrangement of the <i>Erebia tyndarus</i> species group (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) based on an analysis of <i>COI</i> barcodes, morphology and geographic distribution. Folia Biologica (Kraków). Folia Biologica (Kraków) 67 : 149-157. | | | | | |
| | Despite numerous attempts to reveal the phyliformally described entities, a large number of un the <i>E. tyndarus</i> group, mostly due to incomplete in the eastern part of the group's distribution. He essentially improved sampling of the taxa and puthe first time, data on one of the key taxa in this of Demavend volcano in Iran. We also analyze the geographic distribution of the taxa. Our analysis conspecifity of the taxa known in current literat " <i>E. iranica sheljuzhkoi</i> " (Great Caucasus). Inste the taxonomic reorganization of the <i>E. iranica drot transcaucasica</i> (Lesser Caucasus), and <i>E. iranica drot transcaucasica</i> (Lesser Caucasus), and <i>E. iranica</i> smultiple allopatric species. | ogenetic position and taxonomic status of irresolved taxonomic problems still persist in species and population sampling, especially re, we provide a <i>COI</i> barcode study based on opulations from the Caucasus, including for complex, <i>Erebia iranica</i> , described from the structure of valve in male genitalia and the s does not confirm the close relatedness and ure as " <i>E. iranica iranica</i> " (North Iran) and ead, the obtained data indicates the need for complex and its division into two species: d polytypic <i>E. iranica</i> with subspecies <i>E.</i> <i>omulus</i> (Turkey, Ararat Mt.), <i>E. iranica</i> <i>a graucasica</i> (Great Caucasus). In addition, plitting of <i>E. callias</i> and <i>E. cassioides</i> into | | | | |
| | Key words: Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrin morphology. | ae, <i>Erebia</i> , phylogeny, DNA barcode, COI, | | | | |
| | Vladimir LUKHTANOV [™] , Department of Karyos Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, 5 of Entomology, Faculty of Biology, St. Petersburg St. Petersburg 199034, Russia. E-mail: lukhtanov@mail.ru Andrei SOURAKOV, McGuire Center for Lepid of Natural History, University of Florida, Gaine E-mail: asourakov@flmnh.ufl.edu Valentin TIKHONOV, North Caucasus Federal U | systematics, Zoological Institute of Russian St. Petersburg 199034, Russia; Department g State University, Universitetskaya nab. 7/9, loptera and Biodiversity, Florida Museum esville, Florida 32611, USA. 'niversity, Pushkin str., 1, Stavropol 355009 | | | | |
| | Russia. E-mail: entephria@mail.ru Evgeny ZAKHAROV, Biodiversity Institute of Onta Canada N1G 2W1 E-mail: zakharov@uoguelph.ca | ario, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, | | | | |

The group of ringlet butterflies similar to *Erebia tyndarus* (Esper, 1781) can be subdivided into four complexes of closely related cryptic species. (1) The Euro-Asia Minor complex (= the *E. ottomana* complex) includes the single species *E. ottomana* Herrich-Schäffer, 1847 distributed in the mountains of south Europa and in Turkey (HESSELBARTH *et al.* 1995). (2) The complex *E. tyndarus* sensu stricto is distributed in West Europe, and according to the last list of European butterflies (WIEMERS *et al.* 2018) includes 8 species: *E. hispania* Butler, 1868, *E. rondoui* Oberthür, 1908, *E. tyndarus* (Esper, 1781), *E. cassioides* (Hohenwarth, 1792), *E. nivalis* Lorković & de Lesse, 1954, *E. neleus* (Freyer, 1832),

© Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, PAS, Kraków, 2019

Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY) OPEN ACCESS <u>http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0</u>

E. calcarius Lorković, 1953 and *E. arvernensis* Oberthür, 1908. (3) The taxa of the *E. iranica* Grum-Grshimailo, 1895 species complex are distributed in the Russian, Georgian, Armenian, and Azerbaijani parts of the Caucasus, and in Turkey and North Iran (HESSELBARTH *et al.* 1995). (4) The taxa of the *Erebia callias* Edwards, 1871 species complex are distributed in Siberia and North America (DE LESSE 1960).

Species delimitation and identification in the *Erebia tyndarus* group is difficult. The morphology of male genitalia is not uniform within this group; however, the individual variations are very strong and are often comparable with the interspecific differences (e.g. see: DE LESSE 1960). The differences in wing pattern are very subtle or nearly lacking between many species (WARREN 1936, DE LESSE 1960).

In spite of morphological similarity, the taxonomic and identification problems within this group can be solved if chromosomal (LORKOVIĆ 1949; DE LESSE 1960; ROBINSON 1971; LUKHTANOV 1987) or molecular markers (MARTIN *et al.* 2002; ALBRE *et al.* 2008; LUKHTANOV *et al.* 2009; PEÑA *et al.* 2015; GRATTON *et al.* 2016; SCHMITT *et al.* 2016; NAKATANI *et al.* 2018) are applied.

An unusual diversity of karyotypes is the most remarkable characteristic of this group. Haploid chromosome numbers (n) range from n=8 in E. calcarius (LORKOVIĆ 1949; ROBINSON 1971) to n=51-52 in E. iranica (DE LESSE 1960; LORKOVIĆ 1972; LUKHTANOV 1987). These differences provide reliable characters for species delimitation, description, and identification (DE LESSE 1960). However, the parapatric E. tyndarus and E. cassioides (n=10), and the sympatric taxa of the E. iranica species complex (n=51-52) share the same chromosome numbers (ROBINSON 1971) and can be identified on the basis of molecular markers (ALBRE et al. 2008; LUKHTANOV et al. 2009; GRATTON et al. 2016) and subtle differences in morphology (WARREN 1936). The species status of E. tyndarus and E. cassioides (n=10) was also supported by hybridization experiments (LORKOVIĆ 1958).

Although this group has attracted the attention of numerous researchers (WARREN 1936; LORKOVIĆ 1949; 1958, 1972; DE LESSE 1960; LUKHTANOV 1987; MARTIN *et al.* 2002; CHURKIN 2003; ALBRE *et al.* 2008; BOGDANOV 2008; DESCIMON & MALLET 2009; YAKOVLEV 2012; RUBIN & YAKOVLEV 2013; PEÑA *et al.* 2015; GRATTON *et al.* 2016; SCHMITT *et al.* 2016; LUCEK 2018; NAKA-TANI *et al.* 2018), a large number of unresolved taxonomic problems still persist in this group, especially among the taxa distributed in Turkey, Iran, Caucasus, and Siberia.

Here we provide an analysis of this group based on new *COI* barcodes, morphology, and geographic distribution.

Material and Methods

38 specimens (E. iranica iranica, E. iranica transcaucasica, and E. sheljuzhkoi) were processed at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB, Biodiversity Institute of Ontario, University of Guelph) using standard high-throughput protocol described in DEWAARD et al. (2008) and resulted in a 658 bp fragment of COI. The BOLD accession numbers of these specimens and their geographic data are presented in Fig. 1. The sequences, pictures, and collection data of these specimens are deposited and can be freely downloaded at the BOLD Public Data Portal (http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/databases). Erebia iranica transcaucasica was found to be represented by two haplotypes in Turkey (Ispir): h1 (27 specimens, ##LOWAB234-09, LOWAB243-09 to LOWAB254-09, LOWAB256-09 to LOWAB260-09, LOWAB262-09 to LOWAB271-09 and LOWAB293-09) and h2 (2 specimens, ## LOWAB246-09 and LOWAB269-09). The set of voucher specimens of the butterflies is kept at the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Science (St. Petersburg) and at the McGuire Center for Lepidoptera and Biodiversity (University of Florida).

Further 78 specimens available from the Gen-Bank database (VILA & BJORKLUND 2004; LUKH-TANOV *et al.* 2009; DINCĂ *et al.* 2011, 2015; HAUSMANN *et al.* 2011; PEÑA *et al.* 2015; MUTA-NEN *et al.* 2016; LITMAN *et al.* 2018; NAKATANI *et al.* 2018) and three specimens available from the Public Data Portal of BOLD (http://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_SearchTerms) (HUEMER & WIESMAIR 2017) were also included in our analysis.

We excluded the GenBank samples LC340508 (Russia, North Caucasus, Dombai) and LC340477 (Armenia, Aragats) from the analysis because of their extremely strong deviation in the nucleotide composition not supported by other sequences from the same localities.

The sequences FJ663620 (*Hyponephele dysdora*) and FJ66326 (*Boeberia parmenio*) (LUKHTANOV *et al.* 2009) were used to root the phylogeny.

Sequences were aligned using BioEdit software (HALL 1999) and edited manually. Phylogenetic hypotheses were inferred using Bayesian inference as described previously (SAHOO *et al.* 2016; LUKHTANOV 2017; LUKHTANOV & DANTCHENKO 2017). The Bayesian analysis was performed using the program MrBayes 3.2 (RONQUIST *et al.* 2012) with default settings as suggested by Mesquite (MADDISON & MADDISON 2015): burnin=0.25, nst=6 (GTR + I + G). Two runs of 10,000,000 generations with four chains (one cold and three heated) were performed. The consensus of the obtained



0.02

Fig. 1. Bayesian tree of the *E. tyndarus* group based on *COI* barcodes. The sequences FJ663620 (*Hyponephele dysdora*) and FJ66326 (*Boeberia parmenio*) (LUKHTANOV et al. 2009) were used to root the phylogeny (not shown). Numbers at nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probability.

trees (Figs 1 and 2) was visualized using FigTree 1.3.1 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

DNA barcode gaps (=minimum *COI* uncorrected p-distances) between the taxa (Table 1) were calculated manually. The data on geographic distribution and cohabitation (=sympatry) were ex-

tracted from available literature (WARREN 1936; DE LESSE 1960; LUKHTANOV 1987; MARTIN *et al.* 2002; ALBRE *et al.* 2008; BOGDANOV 2008; YAK-OVLEV 2012; RUBIN & YAKOVLEV 2013; GRAT-TON *et al.* 2016; SCHMITT *et al.* 2016).

Table 1

The type of distribution (S is sympatry; A is allopatry) and barcoding gap between the taxa of the *E. iranica* and *E. callias* species complexes. *ira* is *E. iranica iranica, gra* is *E. iranica graucasica, tra* is *E. iranica transcaucasica, she* is *E. sheljuzhkoi, cal* is *E. callias callias, alt* is *E. callias altajana, cha* is *E. callias chastilovi, prz* is *E. callias przhevalskii, sib* is *E. callias sibirica*

| Taxon | ira | gra | tra | she | cal | alt | cha | prz | sib |
|-------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| ira | _ | A 2.2% | A 2.0% | A 2.8% | A 4.9% | A 4.8% | A 4.8% | A 5.0% | A 5.9% |
| gra | | _ | A 1.6% | S 3.2% | A 5.1% | A 5.0% | A 4.9% | A 4.9 | A 5.5 % |
| tra | | | _ | A 3.1% | A 5.0% | A 4.9% | A 4.9% | A 5.0% | A 5.5% |
| she | | | | _ | A 5.0% | A 5.0% | A 4.9% | A 4.9% | A 5.6% |
| cal | | | | | _ | A 0.5% | A 0.7% | A 0.5% | A 0.8% |
| alt | | | | | | - | A 0.2% | A 0% | A 0.3% |
| cha | | | | | | | _ | A 0.2% | A 0.5% |
| prz | | | | | | | | _ | A 0.3% |
| sib | | | | | | | | | _ |



Fig. 2. Bayesian tree of the *E. tyndarus* group based on *COI* barcodes (fragment showing the taxa of the Iberian-Alpine-Balkan lineage). Numbers at nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probability.

Results and Discussion

Despite numerous attempts to reveal the phylogenetic position and taxonomic status of formally described entities, a large number of unresolved taxonomic problems still persist in the *E. tyndarus* group, mostly because of incomplete species and population sampling, especially from the eastern part of the group's distribution (MARTIN *et al.* 2002; PEÑA *et al.* 2015; GRATTON *et al.* 2016; SCHMITT *et al.* 2016). Here we provide a *COI*-barcode analysis based on essentially improved sampling of the taxa and populations from the Caucasus, including, for the first time, data on one of the key taxa in this complex, *Erebia iranica*, described from the Demavend volcano in Iran.

The analysis revealed *E. ottomana* as the most basal lineage (clade) within the group (Fig. 1). Then, the Caucasian (II) and the European-Siberian-American (III) clades were revealed to be highly supported monophyletic groups (Fig. 1).

The Caucasian clade has been shown to include two lineages: the lineage of *E. sheljuzhkoi* distributed in the Great Caucasus only, and the lineage of *E. iranica* sensu lato distributed in the Great and Lesser Caucasus as well as in Turkey and North Iran. Thus, these two lineages are sympatric in the Great Caucasus and separated by a significant barcode gap (Table 1) which correlates with a gap in morphology (Fig. 3) and, therefore, represent two different biological species. Our analysis does not confirm the close relatedness and conspecificity of the taxa known in current literature as "*E. iranica iranica*" (North Iran) and "*E. iranica sheljuzhkoi*" (ALBRE *et al.* 2008, LUKHTANOV *et al.* 2009). Instead, it demonstrates that these taxa are quite distant with respect to their DNA barcodes. Their conspecificity has been claimed on the basis of identity in chromosome number (n=51-52) (LORKOVIĆ 1972; LUKHTANOV 1987). However, molecular studies demonstrate that this character (n=51-52) has a plesiomorphic nature, and, thus, is not evidence for creating any taxonomic or phylogenetic conclusions.

Differentiation in the valve shape between allopatric forms of the *E. iranica* complex (Figs 3 and 4) is correlated with a relatively low (1.6-2.2%) barcoding gap between them (Table 1). In accordance with the criteria formulated in our work (allopatry + barcoding gap less 3% + no evidence for reproductive isolation, LUKHTANOV *et al.* 2016) they should be classified as a subspecies, not as a different species.

In general, the analyzed data indicates the need for taxonomic reorganization of the *E. iranica* complex and its division into two species: monotypic *E. sheljuzhkoi* (distributed in the Great Caucasus) and polytypic *E. iranica* with subspecies *E. iranica iranica* (North Iran, Demavend Mt), *E. iranica dromulus* (Turkey, Ararat Mt), *E. iranica transcaucasica* (Lesser Caucasus), and *E. iranica graucasica* (Great Caucasus).



Fig. 3. Valve shape in the male genitalia of *E. sheljuzhkoi, E. iranica dromulus, E. iranica iranica,* and *E. iranica graucasica* (lateral view). Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. a-c – Russia, Great Caucasus, Teberda, Khatipara; d – Turkey, Ararat, lectotype of *E. iranica dromulus* (coll. Staudinger, Humboldt Universität, Berlin); e – Persia, Demavend, 26 June 1894, syntype of *E. iranica* (coll. Zoological Institute RAS, St. Petersburg); f-g – Iran, Demavend; h – Iran, Demavend, syntype of *E. iranica* (Natural History Museum, London); i-k – Russia, Great Caucasus, Bukabashi Mt.

a, b, c, e, i, j, k are based on figures from LUKHTANOV (1987); d, f, g, h are based on figures from DE LESSE (1960).



Nakhichevan, Ordubad

Fig. 4. Valve shape in the male genitalia of E. iranica graucasica (lateral view). Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. a, b, c are based on figures from DE LESSE (1960); d, e, f, g, h, i are based on figures from LUKHTANOV (1987). a - holotype of Erebia iranica savalanica de Lesse, 1956 (Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris).



Fig. 5. Valve shape in the male genitalia of *E. callias* (lateral view). Although the structure of the valve is not identical in the four different subspecies (and is especially specialized in E. callias sibirica), there are clear transition forms between the subspecies (shown by arrows). Scale bar corresponds to 1 mm. a-d – USA, Colorado; e – Russia, Altai, Bashkaus, lectotype of E. callias altajana (Natural History Museum, London); f - Russia, Altai, Tchuja; g, h - Russia/Kazakhstan border, Altai, Kholsun Mts; i-k - Russia, Siberia, East Sajan; I - Mongolia, Urga; m - Russia, "Irkutsk"; n - Kazakhstan, Tarbagatai, lectotype of E. callias sibirica (coll. Staudinger, Humboldt Universität, Berlin); o - Kazakhstan, Tarbagatai; p-s - Kazakhstan, Saur. a, b, c, d, e, f, m, n, o are based on figures from DE LESSE (1960); g, h, i, j, k, l, p, r, s are based on figures from LUKHTANOV (1987).

The European-Siberian-American clade has been shown to include two assemblages: the lineage of E. callas distributed in Siberia and North America (Colorado) (Fig. 1) and the lineage represented by the taxa distributed in the mountain systems of Southern Europe (the Iberian-Alpine-Balkan lineage) (Figs 1 and 2).

The Siberian-American lineage includes the single species E. callias. It has been split recently into several allopatric species such as *E. callias* sensu stricto, E. sibirica Staudinger, 1881, E. chastilovi Churkin, 2003, and E. przhevalskii Yakovlev, 2012 on the basis of differences in male genitalia (RUBIN & YAKOVLEV 2013). Indeed, the structure of male genitalia is not identical in different populations within this lineage (Fig. 5). The population from the Saur-Tarbagatai mountain system (E. callias sibirica) is especially different, in that it has a wider shape of valve in male genitalia. Similarly, the wide valve is found in some populations in Mongolia (*E. callias przhevalskii*). The almost complete absence of differentiation in DNA barcodes (Table 1), along with the presence of transitional forms in male genitalia (Fig. 5), seems to support the subspecies rather than species status of the studied taxa. However, the number of genital preparations and DNA barcodes studied is insufficient to draw definitive taxonomic conclusions in this case. Further research based on more intensive sampling of specimens and multiple molecular markers may shed light on the status and phylogenetic relationships of the Siberian and Mongolian taxa.

The Iberian-Alpine-Balkan lineage consists of the populations that are weakly differentiated with respect to DNA barcodes (Fig. 2), except for the clearly distinct E. hispania and E. rondoui. However, chromosomal analysis, hybridization experiments, and thorough analysis of the distribution in zones of sympatry and parapatry (LORKOVIĆ 1958) demonstrated that the rest of the populations represented four different biological species, E. tyndarus, E. cassioides, E. nivalis, and E. calcarius. Based on differences in allozymes, SCHMITT et al (2016), split E. cassioides into three allopatric species; E. cassioides sensu stricto, E. neleus, and *E. arvernensis*. Although there is a certain logic to this action (SCHMITT et al. 2016) based on the use of the phylogenetic species concept, it contradicts the logic of LORKOVIĆ (1958) and DE LESSE (1960), who divided the complex into species based on the data of reproductive isolation, i.e. based on the biological species concept.

Thus, acceptance of the changes proposed by SCHMITT *et al.* (2016) makes the system of the *Erebia tyndarus* group eclectic, partly based on the phylogenetic species concept and partly based on the biological species concept. In this situation, adhering to the biological species concept, we consider it more reasonable to use the traditional system (LORKOVIĆ 1958) and do not support the splitting of *E. cassioides* into three species.

Taxonomic conclusion

We propose the following taxonomic arrangement of the *E. tyndarus* group (haploid chromosome numbers are in parentheses). Recently, a population of the *E. tyndarus* group was discovered in the Polar Urals (Russia) and was described as a new species, *E. churkini* Bogdanov, 2008. However, it has not been studied so far in respect to molecular markers and, therefore, has not been included in the species list below. Erebia ottomana clade

1. Erebia ottomana Herrich-Schäffer, 1847 (n=40)

Caucasian clade

Erebia sheljuzhkoi lineage

2. Erebia sheljuzhkoi Warren, 1935 (n=ca51-52)

Erebia iranica lineage

3. Erebia iranica Grum-Grshimailo, 1895

3a. *Erebia iranica iranica* Grum-Grshimailo, 1895 (n=51)

3b. *Erebia iranica dromulus* Staudinger, 1901 (n unknown)

3c. *Erebia iranica transcaucasica* (= *savalanica* de Lesse, 1956) (n=51)

3d. *Erebia iranica graucasica* Jachontov, 1909 (n=ca51-52)

Euro-Siberian-American clade

Siberian-American lineage

4. Erebia callias Edwards, 1871

4a. Erebia callias callias Edwards, 1871 (n=15)

4b. Erebia callias tsherskiensis Dubatolov, 1992 (n unknown)

4c. *Erebia callias altajana* Staudinger, 1901 (n=16)

4d. Erebia callias simulata Warren, 1933 (n unknown)

4e. *Erebia callias chastilovi* Churkin, 2003 (n unknown)

4f. *Erebia callias przhevalskii* Yakovlev, 2012 (n unknown)

4g. *Erebia callias sibirica* Staudinger, 1881 (n unknown)

Erebia rondoui lineage

5. Erebia rondoui Oberthür, 1908 (n=24)

Erebia hispania lineage

6. Erebia hispania Butler, 1868 (n=25)

Pyrenean-Alps-Balkan lineage

- 7. *Erebia tyndarus* (Esper, 1781) (n=10)
- 8. Erebia nivalis Lorković & de Lesse (n=11)
- 9. Erebia calcarius Lorković, 1953 (n=8)
- 10. *Erebia cassioides* (Hohenwarth, 1792) (n=10) 10a. *Erebia cassioides cassioides* (Hohenwarth, 1792) (n=10)

10b. *Erebia cassioides neleus* (Freyer, 1832) 10c. *Erebia cassioides arvernensis* Oberthür, 1908 (n=10)

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out in accordance with paragraph 4.6 of the project "Hybrid speciation without polyploidy: origin and evolution of preand postzygotic barriers between hybrids and parental forms" (project task 7: the search for additional cases of interspecific introgression in nature based on the analysis of molecular markers). The financial support for this study was provided by the grant N 19-14-00202 from the Russian Science Foundation to the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Author Contributions

Research concept and design: V.L.; Collection and/or assembly of data: V.L., A.S., V.T., E.Z.; Data analysis and interpretation: V.L., E.Z.; Writing the article: V.L.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- ALBRE J., GERS C., LEGAL L. 2008. Molecular phylogeny of the *Erebia tyndarus* (Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) species group combining *CoxII* and *ND5* mitochondrial genes: A case study of a recent radiation. Mol. Phyl. Evol. 47: 196-210 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.01.009
- BOGDANOV P.V. 2008. Review of satyrid butterflies of *Erebia* callias Edwards, 1871 (Lepidoptera, Satyridae) with description of new species from the Polar Ural. Trudy Gosudarstvennogo Darvinovskogo muzeya **12**: 161-173 (in Russian).
- CHURKIN S. V. 2003. New taxa of butterflies (Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera) from Mongolia. Helios 4: 160-178.
- DE LESSE H. 1960. Spéciation et variation chromosomique chez les Lépidoptères Rhopalocères. Annales des Sciences Naturelles Zoologie et Biologie Animale, 12e seirie. **2**: 1-223.
- DESCIMON H., MALLET J. 2009. Bad species. (In: Ecology of Butterflies in Europe. SETTELE J., KONVICKA M., SHREEVE T., DENNIS R. VAN DYCK H. (eds) Cambridge University Press: Cambridge): 219-249.
- DEWAARD J.R., IVANOVA N.V., HAJIBABAEI M., HEBERT P.D.N. 2008. Assembling DNA barcodes: analytical protocols. (In: Environmental Genomics, Methods in Molecular Biology. C. C. Martin ed. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey) **410**: 275-283. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745- 548-0_15.
- DINCĂ V., MONTAGUD S., TALAVERA G., HERNAN-DEZ-ROLDAN J., MUNGUIRA M.L., GARCIA-BARROS E., HEBERT P.D., VILA R. 2015. DNA barcode reference library for Iberian butterflies enables a continental-scale preview of potential cryptic diversity. Sci. Rep. **5**, 12395.
- DINCĂ V., ZAKHAROV E.V., HEBERT P.D., VILA R. 2011. Complete DNA barcode reference library for a country's butterfly fauna reveals high performance for temperate

Europe. Proc. Biol. Sci. **278** (1704): 347-355. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1089

- GRATTON P., TRUCCHI E., TRASATTI A., RICCARDUCCI G., MARTA S., ALLEGRUCCI G., CESARONI D., SBORDONI V. 2016. Testing classical species properties with contemporary data: How "bad Species" in the Brassy Ringlets (*Erebia tyndarus* complex, Lepidoptera) turned good. Syst. Biol. **65**: 292-303. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syv087
- HAUSMANN A., HASZPRUNAR G., SEGERER A.H., SPEIDEL W., BEHOUNEK G., HEBERT P.D.N. 2011. Now DNA-barcoded: the butterflies and larger moths of Germany (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera, Macroheterocera). Spixiana **34**: 47-58.
- HESSELBARTH G., VAN OORSCHOT H., WAGENER S. 1995. Die Tagfalter der Türkei unter Berücksichtigung der angrenzenden Länder. Vol. 1-3. Selbstverlag S. Wagener, Bocholt, 1354 pp.
- HALL T.A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series **41**: 95-98.
- HUEMER P., WIESMAIR B. 2017. DNA-barcoding der Tagfalter (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea) Österreichs. Unbekannte genetische Vielfalt im Zentrum Europas. Wissenschaftliches Jahrbuch der Tiroler Landesmuseen. StudienVerlag, Innsbruck Wien, Bozen.
- LITMAN J., CHITTARO Y., BIRRER S., PRAZ C., WERMEILLE E., FLURI M., STALLING T., SCHMID S., WYLER S., GONSETH Y. 2018. A DNA barcode reference library for Swiss butterflies and forester moths as a tool for species identification, systematics and conservation. PLoS ONE **13** (12), e0208639. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208639
- LORKOVIĆ Z. 1949. Chromosomenzahlen-Verviel-fachung bei Schmetterlingen und ein neuer Fall funffacher Zahl. Polyploidy in butterflies and a new case of pentaploidy. Rev. Suisse Zool. **56**: 243-249.
- LORKOVIĆ Z. 1958. Some peculiarities of spatially and sexually restricted gene exchange in the *Erebia tyndarus* group. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **23**: 319-325.
- LORKOVIĆ Z. 1972. Karyological identification of the Caucasian species of the *Erebia tyndarus* group. (Lepidopt., Satyridae). Acta ent. Jugosl. **8**: 111-121
- LUCEK K. 2018. Evolutionary mechanisms of varying chromosome numbers in the radiation of *Erebia* butterflies. Genes **9**, 166. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9030166
- LUKHTANOV V.A. 1987. Systematics and phylogeny of Caucasian and Siberian forms of the *Erebia tyndarus* group (Lepidoptera, Satyridae) with regards for karyological data. Zool. Zhurn. **66**(5): 692-700. (In Russian with English summary).
- LUKHTANOV V.A. 2017. A new species of *Melitaea* from Israel, with notes on taxonomy, cytogenetics, phylogeography and interspecific hybridization in the *Melitaea persea* complex (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae). Comp. Cytogen. **11**(2): 325-357. https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v11i2.12370
- LUKHTANOV V.A., DANTCHENKO A.V. 2017. A new butterfly species from south Russia revealed through chromosomal and molecular analysis of the *Polyommatus (Agrodiaetus) damonides* complex (Lepidoptera, Lycaenidae). Comp Cytogen. **11**(4): 769-795. https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v11i4.20072
- LUKHTANOV V.A., SOURAKOV A., ZAKHAROV E.V., HEBERT P.D., 2009. DNA barcoding Central Asian butterflies: increasing geographical dimension does not significantly reduce the success of species identification. Mol. Ecol. Res. **9**: 1302-1310.
 - https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02577.x

- LUKHTANOV V.A., SOURAKOV A., ZAKHAROV E.V. 2016. DNA barcodes as a tool in biodiversity research: testing pre-existing taxonomic hypotheses in Delphic Apollo butterflies (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). Syst. Biodiv. **14**(6): 599-613. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2016.1203371
- MADDISON W.P., MADDISON D.R. 2015. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.04. http://mesquiteproject.org
- MARTIN J.-F., GILLES A., LÖRTSCHER M., DESCIMON H. 2002. Phylogenetics and differentiation among the western taxa of the *Erebia tyndarus* group (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **75**: 319-332. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8312.2002.00022.x
- MUTANEN M., KIVELA S.M., VOS R.A., DOORENWEERD C., RATNASINGHAM S., HAUSMANN A., HUEMER P., DINCĂ V., VAN NIEUKERKEN E.J., LOPEZ-VAAMONDE C., VILA R., AARVIK L., DECAENS T., EFETOV K.A., HEBERT P.D., JOHNSEN A., KARSHOLT O., PENTINSAARI M., ROUGERIE R., SEGERER A., TARMANN G., ZAHIRI R., GODFRAY H.C. 2016. Species-level para- and polyphyly in DNA barcode gene trees: strong operational bias in European Lepidoptera. Syst. Biol. **65**(6): 1024-1040.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw044
- NAKATANI T., UEDA S., NISHIO S.Y., USAMI S.I. 2018. Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Erebia* (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae): Species groups inferred from mitochondrial and nucleus gene sequences. Butterfly Science **12**: 27-48.
- PEÑA C., WITTHAUER H., KLEČKOVÁ I., FRIC Z., WAHL-BERG N. 2015. Adaptive radiations in butterflies: evolutionary history of the genus *Erebia* (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **116**: 449-467. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12597
- ROBINSON R. 1971. Lepidoptera genetics. Pergamon Press. 688 pp

- RONQUIST F., TESLENKO M., VAN DER MARK P., AYRES D.L., DARLING A., HÖHNA S., LARGET B., LIU L., SUCHARD M.A., HUELSENBECK J.P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. **61**: 539-542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- RUBIN N.I., YAKOVLEV R.V. 2013. Checklist of the butterflies (Papilionoidea) of the Saur Mountains and adjacent territories (Kazakhstan), including systematic notes about the *Erebia callias* group. Nota Lepidopterologica **36**: 137-170.
- SAHOO R.K., WARREN A.D., WAHLBERG N., BROWER A.V.Z., LUKHTANOV V.A., KODANDARAMAIAH U. 2016. Ten genes and two topologies: an exploration of higher relationships in skipper butterflies (Hesperiidae). PeerJ 4:e2653. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2653
- SCHMITT T., LOUY D., ZIMMERMANN E., HABEL J.C. 2016. Species radiation in the Alps: multiple range shifts caused diversification in Ringlet butterflies in the European high mountains. Org. Divers. Evol. **16**: 791-808. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-016-0282-6
- VILA M., BJORKLUND M. 2004. The utility of the neglected mitochondrial control region for evolutionary studies in Lepidoptera (Insecta). J. Mol. Evol. 58: 280-290.
- WARREN B.C.S. 1936. Monograph of the genus *Erebia*. London, Oxford University Press. 407 pp.
- WIEMERS M., BALLETTO E., DINCĂ V., FRIC Z.F., LAMAS G., LUKHTANOV V., MUNGUIRA M.L., VAN SWAAY C.A.M., VILA R., VLIEGENTHART A., WAHLBERG N., VEROVNIK R. 2018. An updated checklist of the European Butterflies (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea). ZooKeys 81: 9-45. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.811.28712
- YAKOVLEV R.V. 2012. Checklist of Butterflies (Papilionoidea) of the Mongolian Altai Mountains, including descriptions of new taxa. Nota Lepidopterologica **35**: 51-96 51.