PL-ISSN 1895-3123 (print), ISSN 2081-7487 (online)Acta zoologica cracoviensia, **53A**(1-2): 41-49, Kraków, 26 July, 2010© Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, PAS, Kraków, 2010doi:10.3409/azc.53a\_1-2.41-49

# Influence of agricultural landscape structure on breeding bird densities in lowland Polish farmland

Paweł PRZYBYCIN

Received: 2 November 2009 Accepted: 25 June 2010

PRZYBYCIN P. 2010. Influence of agricultural landscape structure on breeding bird densities in lowland Polish farmland. *Acta zoologica cracoviensia*, **53A**(1-2): 41-49.

Abstract. The influence of agricultural landscape structure (field fragmentation, share of particular crop types and hedges) on relative density of breeding bird species was surveyed in 2005-2007 on 46 transects (width 200 m, length 540-1570 m each) in four low-land, flat regions of Poland. Correlation analysis showed that the densities of 14 bird species were related to particular landscape variables. Several strictly field bird species preferred fragmented fields, cereal cropland and grasslands. Several bird species preferred hedges and abandoned fields with high (>0.5 m) herbaceous vegetation consisting of perennial plants.

Key words: Agriculture, landscape management, bird habitats.

Paweł PRZYBYCIN, Rychwalska 19, 62-571 Stare Miasto, Poland. E-mail: pawelprzybycin@op.pl

## I. INTRODUCTION

An increase and maintenance of bird abundance is one of the actions of integrated farming (e.g. HÄNI et al. 1998). Since the number of birds depends in a large measure on the structure of the landscape, the achievement of this goal necessitates the precise recognition of habitats of particular bird species. Such knowledge will enable landscape management favorable to bird protection. In Europe, research concerning the influence of agricultural landscape structure on abundance of breeding bird species has been conducted mainly in western and northern parts of the continent (e.g. GREEN et al. 1994; SPARKS et al. 1996; MASON & MACDONALD 2000a; AUNINŠ et al. 2001; HER-ZON et al. 2006). An especially suitable area for this type of investigation is Poland. A larger diversity of agricultural landscape occurs in Poland in comparison to other European countries. In Poland large fields occur close to small fields, landscapes with high shares of hedges – next to landscapes without hedges. This allows the study of a very broad spectrum of particular landscape variables. However, investigations into the influence of agricultural landscape structure on abundance of particular breeding species in this country have concerned only a few bird species or landscape components (PANEK & KAMIENIARZ 1998, 2000; KUJAWA 1999; TRYJANOWSKI et al. 1999; KOSIŃSKI & TRYJANOWSKI 2000; GOLAWSKI & DOMBROWSKI 2002; DOMBROWSKI & GOLAWSKI 2004; SUR- P. PRZYBYCIN

MACKI 2004, 2005). Only SANDERSON et al. (2009) have published a more comprehensive study, which however dealt with a mixed landscape (with a share of woodlands). The aim of my study was to examine the effect of agricultural crop structure and share of hedges in an agricultural landscape on densities of many breeding bird species in typical lowland Polish farmland.

## II. STUDY AREAS AND METHODS

The field surveys were carried out in 2005-2007 on 46 transects (width 200 m, length 540-1570 m each; mean area 19.5 ha, SD  $\pm$  4.4 ha) in four lowland, flat regions of Poland with a different agricultural landscape structure: 2005 – Oleśnica Plain (Lower Silesia, 51°04-12′N, 17°04-14′E, 19 transects); 2006 – Garwolin Plain (eastern Masovia, 52°00-02′N, 21°27-33′E, 6 transects) and Łowicz-Błonie Plain (western Masovia, 52°12-13′N, 20°31-35′E, 9 transects); 2007 – Września Plain (western Wielkopolska, 52°20-22′N, 17°39-45′E, 12 transects). Localisation of regions in which the examined transects were situated is presented in Figure 1.

Nine variables describing agricultural landscape structure (landscape variables) were used in the analysis (Table I). Mean values of landscape variables on transects in particular regions are shown in Table II. Lower Silesia and western Wielkopolska are dominated by medium and large fields.



Fig. 1. Localisation of regions in Poland in which examined transects were situated: 1 – Oleśnica Plain, 2 – Garwolin Plain, 3 – Łowicz-Błonie Plain, 4 – Września Plain.

Agricultural landscape structure and breeding bird densities

# Table I

| Variables describing agricultural landscape structure (landscape variables) used |
|--|
| in the analysis and their range and frequency on transects                       |

| Variable | Explanation   | Range    | Frequency |
|----------|---|----------|-----------|
| SCER     | spring cereals (except maize) [% of area]   | 0-78     | 72 %      |
| WCER     | winter cereals [% of area]  | 0-98     | 72 %      |
| RAPE     | winter rape [% of area]   | 0-63     | 28 %      |
| BARE     | bare ground or almost bare ground (potato, beet, maize, vegetables and lack of vegetation) [% of area]            | 0-100    | 83 %      |
| GRAS     | meadows and pastures [% of area]  | 0-100    | 33 %      |
| LAF      | low abandoned fields (height of herbaceous vegetation <50 cm)<br>[% of area]                                      | 0-16     | 30 %      |
| HAF      | high abandoned fields (height of vegetation, consisting mainly of perennial herbaceous plants, >50 cm [% of area] | 0-100    | 33 %      |
| HED      | hedges (both with tall trees and without tall trees) [m/10 ha]  | 0-500    | 61 %      |
| FFI      | field fragmentation index [number of fields<br>(parcels occupied by one crop)/10 ha]                              | 0.6-38.7 |           |

## Table II

|                     | FFI  | SCER | WCER | RAPE | BARE | GRAS | LAF | HAF  | HED |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Oleśnica Plain      | 6.1  | 12.0 | 21.4 | 5.3  | 19.2 | 9.1  | 2.2 | 29.6 | 103 |
| Garwolin Plain      | 32.3 | 40.0 | 31.6 | 0    | 7.5  | 17.5 | 1.9 | 1.0  | 55  |
| Łowicz-Błonie Plain | 9.6  | 10.6 | 26.4 | 0    | 56.3 | 0.8  | 1.9 | 4.1  | 107 |
| Września Plain      | 4.7  | 21.5 | 13.5 | 22.5 | 38.0 | 0.1  | 0.2 | 0    | 112 |

Mean landscape variable values on transects in particular regions

Września Plain is characterized by a high proportion of beet, vegetables and winter rape, Oleśnica Plain – by a high share of abandoned fields. Potato and vegetables are predominant on the agricultural landscape of the Łowicz-Błonie Plain. The eastern Masovia region is characterized by very strong field fragmentation, a low share of hedges and high share of spring cereals and grasslands. The transects were located away from woodlands, buildings and heavily used roads and were selected non-randomly – the goal was to survey a broad spectrum of landscape variables, therefore transects that differed in landscape structure were selected. For each transect the areas occupied by all crops, numbers of plots and lengths of hedges (landscape variables, see Table I) were determined on the basis of direct field measurements, maps and orthophotomaps. Crops occurring sporadically P. PRZYBYCIN

on transects were not taken into account, i.e. those that were present on not more than three transects (7 % of all transects), e.g. strawberry/wild strawberry, allotments, orchards, fruit shrubs and other crops.

Each transect was surveyed three times between 14 April and 3 June (at intervals of a minimum of 13 days), in morning and noon hours (5.30-13.00, exceptionally – only once – to 15.30). Mean control speed was 25 minutes/10 ha. All bird observations and their details (e.g. singing birds, simultaneous statements, battles between birds) were mapped. Birds flying above transects or foraging, without attachment to their breeding habitat, were omitted. In the case of skylark special attention was given to sites of take-off and landing. For each transect relative densities [pairs/10 ha] of particular bird species were determined on the basis of map examinations. Territories were considered as occupied on the basis of at least one record in the breeding season. For statistical analysis the relative densities of 16 most frequently recorded bird species (those occurring on at least 10 transects) were selected. The transect method is especially useful for studies on the habitat of birds at a larger scale (SURMACKI & TRYJANOWSKI 1999).

The correlation matrix of independent variables revealed only one high correlation (Spearman  $r_s$  coefficient >0.50; between field fragmentation index – FFI and low abandoned fields – LAF; Table III). Thus the intercorrelation of landscape variables was not a serious problem. Due to the lack of a normal distribution of part of the data (p<0.01 in Kolomogorov-Smirnov test, both before and after appropriate transformations), I carried out Spearman rank correlation analysis between independent variables (landscape variables, Table I) and dependent variables (relative density of particular bird species). P-values were adjusted using the Bonferroni correction.

## Table III

|      | SCER | WCER | RAPE  | BARE  | GRAS  | LAF   | HAF   | HED   |
|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| FFI  | 0.48 | 0.27 | -0.06 | 0.04  | 0.47  | 0.54  | 0.13  | 0.07  |
| SCER |      | 0.27 | 0.08  | -0.28 | 0.23  | 0.17  | -0.23 | -0.07 |
| WCER |      |      | -0.08 | -0.19 | 0.13  | -0.06 | -0.23 | -0.07 |
| RAPE |      |      |       | 0.11  | -0.18 | -0.32 | -0.42 | 0.32  |
| BARE |      |      |       |       | -0.17 | -0.14 | -0.28 | 0.11  |
| GRAS |      |      |       |       |       | 0.25  | -0.09 | -0.02 |
| LAF  |      |      |       |       |       |       | 0.47  | -0.01 |
| HAF  |      |      |       |       |       |       |       | 0.10  |

Spearman correlation matrix of the landscape variables

## III. RESULTS

A total of 44 breeding bird species was found on all transects (Table IV). 16 species occurred on at least 10 transects; Spearman rank correlation analysis showed that the densities of 14 of them were strongly positively correlated with some landscape variables (Table V). Relative densities of

#### 44

Agricultural landscape structure and breeding bird densities

# Table IV

| Latin name                 | Common name          | Latin name              | Common name        |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Anas platyrhynchos         | mallard              | Acrocephalus palustris  | marsh warbler      |
| Perdix perdix              | partridge            | Acrocephalus scirpaceus | reed warbler       |
| Coturnix coturnix          | quail                | Hippolais icterina      | icterine warbler   |
| Phasianus colchicus        | pheasant             | Sylvia curruca          | lesser whitethroat |
| Charadrius dubius          | little ringed plover | Sylvia communis         | whitethroat        |
| Vanellus vanellus          | lapwing              | Sylvia borin            | garden warbler     |
| Columba palumbus           | woodpigeon           | Sylvia atricapilla      | blackcap           |
| Cuculus canorus            | cuckoo               | Parus major             | great tit          |
| Lullula arborea            | woodlark             | Cyanistes caeruleus     | blue tit           |
| Alauda arvensis            | skylark              | Lanius collurio         | red-backed shrike  |
| Anthus campestris          | tawny pipit          | Pica pica               | magpie             |
| Anthus pratensis           | meadow pipit         | Sturnus vulgaris        | starling           |
| Motacilla flava            | yellow wagtail       | Passer montanus         | tree sparrow       |
| Motacilla alba             | white wagtail        | Fringilla coelebs       | chaffinch          |
| Luscinia megarhynchos      | nightingale          | Serinus serinus         | serin              |
| Saxicola rubetra           | whinchat             | Carduelis chloris       | greenfinch         |
| Saxicola rubicola          | stonechat            | Carduelis carduelis     | goldfinch          |
| Turdus merula              | blackbird            | Carduelis cannabina     | linnet             |
| Turdus pilaris             | fieldfare            | Emberiza citrinella     | yellowhammer       |
| Turdus philomelos          | song thrush          | Emberiza hortulana      | ortolan bunting    |
| Locustella naevia          | grasshopper warbler  | Emberiza schoeniclus    | reed bunting       |
| Acrocephalus schoenobaenus | sedge warbler        | Emberiza calandra       | corn bunting       |

## List of all breeding bird species found on 46 transects

three strictly field (foraging and nesting on open fields, presence of shrubs and trees irrelevant) species (partridge, yellow wagtail, and particularly skylark) were strongly positively correlated with the field fragmentation index – FFI (Table V). Skylark clearly preferred grasslands and spring cereals, and quail – winter cereals (Table V). Relative densities of seven species were strongly positively correlated with high abandoned fields – HAF (Table V). A strong positive correlation was found between relative densities of four species and hedges – HED (Table V). P. PRZYBYCIN

## Table V

| Species                | FFI         | SCER         | WCER        | RAPE  | BARE  | GRAS        | LAF         | HAF         | HED         |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Perdix perdix          | <u>0.45</u> | 0.33         | 0.23        | 0.17  | -0.13 | 0.27        | 0.20        | -0.03       | 0.19        |
| Coturnix coturnix      | 0.36        | 0.13         | <u>0.45</u> | -0.34 | -0.07 | 0.34        | 0.32        | 0.14        | -0.20       |
| Phasianus colchicus    | 0.18        | -0.19        | -0.18       | -0.28 | -0.01 | -0.26       | <u>0.50</u> | <u>0.66</u> | 0.13        |
| Vanellus vanellus      | 0.24        | 0.10         | 0.05        | -0.17 | 0.02  | 0.10        | 0.28        | 0.20        | -0.01       |
| Cuculus canorus        | -0.10       | -0.06        | -0.13       | 0.34  | 0.13  | -0.17       | -0.00       | -0.02       | <u>0.54</u> |
| Alauda arvensis        | <u>0.60</u> | <u>0.51</u>  | 0.37        | -0.26 | -0.26 | <u>0.63</u> | 0.39        | 0.01        | -0.07       |
| Motacilla flava        | <u>0.51</u> | 0.34         | 0.40        | 0.10  | 0.23  | 0.25        | 0.03        | -0.33       | 0.13        |
| Saxicola rubetra       | 0.08        | -0.37        | -0.22       | -0.22 | -0.30 | -0.03       | 0.33        | <u>0.83</u> | 0.09        |
| Turdus merula          | -0.28       | -0.30        | -0.11       | -0.01 | -0.07 | -0.14       | -0.08       | 0.36        | 0.36        |
| Locustella naevia      | -0.12       | -0.38        | -0.17       | -0.21 | -0.21 | -0.21       | 0.30        | <u>0.73</u> | 0.01        |
| Acrocephalus palustris | -0.18       | -0.29        | -0.26       | 0.02  | -0.00 | -0.33       | 0.01        | <u>0.46</u> | 0.29        |
| Sylvia communis        | 0.06        | -0.18        | -0.20       | 0.22  | -0.08 | -0.18       | 0.29        | <u>0.41</u> | <u>0.70</u> |
| Lanius collurio        | 0.07        | -0.16        | -0.27       | -0.00 | -0.05 | 0.01        | -0.00       | 0.37        | <u>0.44</u> |
| Emberiza citrinella    | -0.10       | -0.04        | -0.25       | 0.33  | -0.10 | -0.14       | -0.11       | 0.16        | <u>0.64</u> |
| Emberiza schoeniclus   | -0.16       | <u>-0.43</u> | -0.20       | -0.25 | -0.21 | -0.26       | 0.27        | <u>0.76</u> | 0.06        |
| Emberiza calandra      | 0.00        | -0.18        | -0.00       | -0.13 | -0.37 | 0.00        | 0.26        | <u>0.48</u> | 0.27        |

Spearman rank correlation coefficients ( $r_s$ ) between relative density of particular species and landscape variables (n = 46). Statistically significant (Bonferroni correction, p<0.0056) relationships are bold and underlined

## IV. DISCUSSION

The results showed the influence of particular agricultural landscape variables on densities of 14 breeding bird species. Because this study was conducted in considerably diversified Polish farmland, the detection of hitherto unreported relationships was possible. This especially concerns the influence of field fragmentation (a broad spectrum of values of this landscape variable was investigated) on abundance of some species. The present study was carried out in spring (mid April – beginning of June) and these results should be related only to this period, because later growth of vegetation can have an effect on the number and distribution of birds.

The finding of a strong positive effect of field fragmentation on the density of skylark is consistent with results of other European studies (SCHLÄPFER 1988; JENNY 1990; ERAUD & BOUTIN 2002). Some studies showed differing optimal heights of vegetation for this species: 15-25 cm (JENNY 1990), <20-25 cm (SCHLÄPFER 1988), 20-50 cm (WILSON et al. 1997), 15-60 cm (TOEPFER & STUBBE 2001). Skylark breed on average 2.4-2.8 times per year (DELIUS 1965; JENNY 1990). Single crop types rarely ensure profitable vegetation structure for nesting for the whole breeding sea-

son, therefore a structurally diverse crop mosaic, which depends on field fragmentation, is favorable for this species (WILSON et al. 1997). Crop diversity within breeding territory can be favorable for nesting and also foraging – it can increase and diversify food resources on account of the variability of food accessibility in time and space. Field fragmentation is particularly important for species which forage and nest only or mainly on open fields (besides skylark also such species as yellow wagtail and partridge). PANEK and KAMIENIARZ (1998, 2000) showed a positive effect of field fragmentation on density of partridge (consistent with the results of this study), and KOSIŃSKI and TRYJANOWSKI (2000) – on the abundance of yellowhammer and corn bunting (not supported in this study).

Particular crop types (spring cereals, winter cereals, grasslands) are preferred by some species (skylark, quail). It seems that the height of vegetation in these crops in spring is optimal for these species. Results of other European research also showed a preference of skylark to spring cereals (AUNINŠ et al. 2001; TOEPFER & STUBBE 2001) and grasslands (POULSEN et al. 1998; PIHA et al. 2003). However, this study did not find a preference of lapwing for spring cereals, which was shown by GALBRAITH (1988) and AUNINŠ et al. (2001), and also the finding of GOLAWSKI and DOM-BROWSKI (2002) of a preference of corn bunting for grasslands. A preference for abandoned fields for such species as whinchat, grasshopper warbler, marsh warbler, whitethroat and corn bunting is consistent with results of other research (FISCHER & SCHNEIDER 1996; AUNINŠ et al. 2001; GOŁAWSKI & DOMBROWSKI 2002; DOMBROWSKI & GOŁAWSKI 2004; HERZON et al. 2006). Moreover, this study showed a preference of pheasant and reed bunting for abandoned fields. In Great Britain and France, skylark preferred abandoned fields (CHAMBERLAIN et al. 1999; BROWNE et al. 2000; HENDERSON et al. 2000a; MASON & MACDONALD 2000a; ERAUD & BOUTIN 2002), in England also yellowhammer (HENDERSON et al. 2000b), and in Scotland - partridge (WATSON & RAE 1997). However, British and French abandoned fields are annually cut, and the height of vegetation does not exceed circa 50 cm (POULSEN et al. 1998; HENDERSON et al. 2000a, 2000b; ERAUD & BOUTIN 2002), they can be regarded as the equivalent of the category "low abandoned fields" in this study. The influence of low abandoned fields (height of herbaceous vegetation <50 cm) was insufficiently investigated in this study on account of their insignificant share in the area of particular investigated transects.

The positive influence of hedges on abundance of many bird species is well known (KYRKOS et al. 1998; PETERSEN 1998; SCHIFFERLI et al. 1999; MASON & MACDONALD 2000a; AUNINŠ et al. 2001). Some studies indicated that hedges are avoided by skylark (PETERSEN 1998; CHAMBERLAIN et al. 1999; KUJAWA 1999; SCHIFFERLI et al. 1999; MASON & MACDONALD 2000a), corn bunting (MASON & MACDONALD 2000b) and yellow wagtail (KUJAWA 1999), which was not found in this study – it is possible that this phenomenon occurs at a higher share of hedges than on the examined transects.

The question of spatial distribution of birds is very complicated. Bird numbers can be influenced by factors not included in the present study, such as: crop configuration, vegetation development pattern, hedges structure etc. These factors are presumably responsible for a part of the unexplained variation in bird density. It is necessary to do further surveys into the influence of agricultural landscape structure on bird abundance, best with experimentally delimited crop configuration.

The results of this study enable the formulation of several general management recommendations. In order to increase the density of many strictly field bird species it is recommended to increase field fragmentation through a reduction of size of fields (parcels occupied by a single crop). This especially concerns western and northern Poland where fields are larger than in the central and eastern part of the country. Abundance of species preferring high abandoned fields can be augmented by establishing small areas of high (>0.5 m) herbaceous vegetation consisting of perennial

#### P. Przybycin

plants. An increase in density of many typical farmland bird species can be achieved by increasing the share of hedges. Convenient instruments for the realization of the above actions are agrienvironment schemes, to which these actions should be included.

A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t s. I thank anonymous referees for comments on previous versions of the manuscript. This article was written on the basis of my Ph.D. thesis. I am grateful to Assoc. Prof. Zbigniew JAKUBIEC, Ph.D. for his critical support.

#### REFERENCES

- AUNINŠ A., PETERSEN B. S., PRIEDNIEKS J., PRINS E. 2001. Relationships between birds and habitats in Latvian farmland. *Acta Ornithologica*, **36**: 55-64.
- BROWNE S., VICKERY J., CHAMBERLAIN D. 2000. Densities and population estimates of breeding Skylarks *Alauda arvensis* in Britain in 1997. *Bird Study*, **47**: 52-65.
- CHAMBERLAIN D. E., WILSON A. M., BROWNE S. J., VICKERY J. A. 1999. Effects of habitat type and management on the abundance of skylarks in the breeding season. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **36**: 856-870.
- DELIUS J. D. 1965. A population study of skylarks Alauda arvensis. Ibis, 107: 466-492.
- DOMBROWSKI A., GOLAWSKI A. 2004. Znaczenie odłogów w preferencjach środowiskowych wybranych gatunków lęgowych ptaków w krajobrazie rolniczym środkowej Polski. *Notatki Ornitologiczne*, **45**: 83-90. (In Polish with English summary)
- ERAUD C., BOUTIN J.-M. 2002. Density and productivity of breeding Skylarks *Alauda arvensis* in relation to crop type on agricultural lands in western France. *Bird Study*, **49**: 287-296.
- FISCHER S., SCHNEIDER R. 1996. Die Grauammer *Emberiza calandra* als Leitart der Agrarlandschaft. Vogelwelt, 117: 225-234.
- GALBRAITH H. 1988. Effects of agriculture on the breeding ecology of lapwings Vanellus vanellus. Journal of Applied Ecology, 25: 487-503.
- GOLAWSKI A., DOMBROWSKI A. 2002. Habitat use of Yellowhammers *Emberiza citrinella*, Ortolan Buntings *Emberiza hortulana*, and Corn Buntings *Miliaria calandra* in farmland of east-central Poland. *Ornis Fennica*, **79**: 164-172.
- GREEN R. E., OSBORNE P. E., SEARS E. J. 1994. The distribution of passerine birds in hedgerows during the breeding season in relation to characteristics of the hedgerow and adjacent farmland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **31**: 677-692.
- HÄNI F., POPOW G., REINHARD H., SCHWARZ A., TANNER K., VORLET M. 1998. Ochrona roślin rolniczych w uprawie integrowanej. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Rolnicze i Leśne, Warszawa. 336 pp. (In Polish).
- HENDERSON I. G., COOPER J., FULLER R. J., VICKERY J. 2000a. The relative abundance of birds on set-aside and neighbouring fields in summer. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **37**: 335-347.
- HENDERSON I. G., VICKERY J. A., FULLER R. J. 2000b. Summer bird abundance and distribution on set-aside fields on intensive arable farms in England. *Ecography*, 23: 50-59.
- HERZON I., AUNINŠ A., ELTS J., PREIKŠA Ž. 2006. Habitat associations of farmland birds across the east Baltic region. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica*, **16**: 249-260.
- JENNY M. 1990. Territorialität und Brutbiologie der Feldlerche *Alauda arvensis* in einer intensiv genutzten Agrarlandschaft. *Journal für Ornithologie*, **131**: 241-265.
- KOSIŃSKI Z., TRYJANOWSKI P. 2000. Habitat selection of breeding seed-eating passerines on farmland in Western Poland. *Ekológia* (Bratislava), **19**: 307-316.
- KUJAWA K. 1999. Wpływ przebiegu transektu na wyznaczanie zagęszczeń ptaków lęgowych na polach uprawnych. *Notatki Ornitologiczne*, **40**: 79-85. (In Polish with English summary).
- KYRKOS A., WILSON J. D., FULLER R. J. 1998. Farmland habitat change and abundance of Yellowhammers *Emberiza citrinella*: an analysis of Common Birds Census data. *Bird Study*, **45**: 232-246.
- MASON C. F., MACDONALD S. M. 2000a. Influence of landscape and land-use on the distribution of breeding birds in farmland in eastern England. *Journal of Zoology* (London), **251**: 339-348.
- MASON C. F., MACDONALD S. M. 2000b. Corn Bunting *Miliaria calandra* populations, landscape and landuse in an arable district of eastern England. *Bird Conservation International*, **10**: 169-186.
- PANEK M., KAMIENIARZ R. 1998. Agricultural landscape structure and density of grey partridge (*Perdix perdix*) populations in Poland. [In:] BIRKAN M., SMITH L. M., AEBISCHER N. J., PURROY F. J., ROBERTSON P. A. (eds) – Proceedings of the Perdix VII Symposium on Partridges, Quails and Pheasants. *Gibier Faune* Sauvage, 15: 309-320.
- PANEK M., KAMIENIARZ R. 2000. Habitat use by the Partridge Perdix perdix during the breeding season in the diversified agricultural landscape of western Poland. Acta Ornithologica, 35: 183-189.

- PETERSEN B. S. 1998. The distribution of Danish farmland birds in relation to habitat characteristics. Ornis Fennica, 75: 105-118.
- PIHA M., PAKKALA T., TIAINEN J. 2003. Habitat preferences of the Skylark *Alauda arvensis* in southern Finland. *Ornis Fennica*, **80**: 97-110.
- POULSEN J. G., SOTHERTON N. W., AEBISCHER N. J. 1998. Comparative nesting and feeding ecology of skylarks *Alauda arvensis* on arable farmland in southern England with special reference to set-aside. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 35: 131-147.
- SANDERSON F. J., KLOCH A., SACHANOWICZ K., DONALD P. F. 2009. Predicting the effects of agricultural change on farmland bird populations in Poland. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **129**: 37-42.
- SCHIFFERLI L., FULLER R. J., MÜLLER M. 1999. Distribution and habitat use of bird species breeding on Swiss farmland in relation to agricultural intensification. *Vogelwelt*, Supplement, **120**: 151-161.
- SCHLÄPFER A. 1988. Populationsökologie der Feldlerche Alauda arvensis in der intensiv genutzten Agrarlandschaft. Ornithologische Beobachter, 85: 309-371.
- SPARKS T. H, PARISH T., HINSLEY S. A. 1996. Breeding birds in field boundaries in an agricultural landscape. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **60**: 1-8.
- SURMACKI A. 2004. Habitat use by Reed Bunting *Emberiza schoeniclus* in an intensively used farmland in Western Poland. *Ornis Fennica*, **81**: 137-143.
- SURMACKI A. 2005. Habitat use by three *Acrocephalus* warblers in an intensively used farmland area: the influence of breeding patch and its surroundings. *Journal of Ornithology*, **146**: 160-166.
- SURMACKI A., TRYJANOWSKI P. 1999. Efficiency of line transect and point count methods in agricultural landscape of western Poland. *Vogelwelt*, Supplement, **120**: 201-203.
- TOEPFER S., STUBBE M. 2001. Territory density of the Skylark (*Alauda arvensis*) in relation to field vegetation in central Germany. *Journal für Ornithologie*, **142**: 184-194.
- TRYJANOWSKI P., HROMADA M., ANTCZAK M. 1999. Breeding habitat selection in the Great Grey Shrike Lanius excubitor – the importance of meadows and spring crops. Acta Ornithologica, 34: 59-63.
- WATSON A., RAE R. 1997. Some effects of set-aside on breeding birds in northeast Scotland. *Bird Study*, **44**: 245-251.
- WILSON J. D., EVANS J., BROWNE S. J., KING J. D. 1997. Territory distribution and breeding success of skylarks *Alauda arvensis* on organic and intensive farmland in southern England. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 34: 1462-1478.