ERRATA

Acta zoologica cracoviensia, **46**(3): 257-268. JESIONOWSKA K. 2003. Observations on the morphology of some eupodoid and endeostigmatic gnathosomata (Actinotrichida, Actinedida, Eupodoidea and Endeostigmata).

The Editors apologize the authoress for the following omissions in the text:

Page:	Is:	Should be:
258, line 9:	...with the purpose to compare...	...in order to compare...
258, line 43:	...the ventral by...	...the ventral ones by...
259, line 7:	The tritosternal laciniae are placed in being provided with transverse teeth of the deutosternal groove and cooperate with...	The tritosternal laciniae are placed in the deutosternal groove provided with transverse teeth and cooperate with...
259, line 14:	On individual faces of the subcapitulum are inserted setae of different number, morphology and function.	Setae of different number, morphology and function are inserted on individual faces of the subcapitulum.
259, line 28:	...from the Penthalodidae, with *Alycus ornithorhynchus*...	...from the Penthalodidae, as well as *Alycus ornithorhynchus*...
260, line 17:	The pair of "n" setae are inserted...	The pair of "n" setae is inserted...
264, line 35:	...bilding...	...building...
265, line 14:	At this level in the Endeostigmata is situated a characteristic strongly sclerotized rim,...	At this level in the Endeostigmata a characteristic strongly sclerotized rim is situated,...
266, line 21:	V.GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	General considerations
266, line 29:	...in my meaning.	...in my understanding.
267, line 19:	VI. CONCLUSION	V. CONCLUSIONS
267, line 23:	...the subcapitulum does not contain neither the mouth opening nor the pharynx.	...the subcapitulum contains neither the mouth opening nor the pharynx.
267, line 39:	The authors's interpretation...	The author's interpretation...

★

Acta zoologica cracoviensia, **45**(4), pp. 321-336. PAWLIKOWSKI T., HIRSCH J. 2002. Bees (*Hymenoptera*: *Apoidea*) as indicators of xerisation processes in the lower Vistula Valley.

Regression line equation of correlation between the recorded number of species (S) and the range of study area (X) in Poland was incorrectly defined. Correct equation is $S = 146,90 + 0,00095 X$ (Fig. 4).

Also in Tab. 4 data from Kulin to Gruczno are resources by Hirsch (2002) and all data from Poland are resources by PAWLIKOWSKI (2001).